

CHRIS T. RASMUSSEN, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 007149
RASMUSSEN LAW P.C.
520 South 4th Street
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
(702) 384-5563
ctr@rasmussenlaw.com
Attorney for Defendant

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	Case No.: 2:19-cr-00133-APG-VCF
Plaintiff,)	
vs.)	<u>STIPULATION TO CONTINUE</u>
ROBERTO BLANCAS-MATA,)	<u>SENTENCING</u>
Defendant.)	

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between defendant, ROBERTO BLANCAS-MATA, by and through his counsel, Chris T. Rasmussen, Esq., and the United States America, by its counsel Kevin D. Schiff, Assistant United States Attorney, that the above-captioned matter currently scheduled for sentencing on June 2, 2021 at 11:30 a.m. be vacated and continued for 60 days or for a time suitable to the court.

This Stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:

1. The parties agree to a continuance;
2. Counsel for Defendant needs additional time to adequately prepare for sentencing;
3. Defendant is not in custody. Counsel for the Defendant has spoken to the Defendant and the Defendant has no objection to this continuance;
4. Denial of this request could result in a miscarriage of justice;
5. For all the above- stated reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by a continuance of the sentencing date by 60 days.

1 6. This is the first request for continuance.

2 DATED this 20th day of May, 2021.

3
4
5 /s/ Chris T. Rasmussen

6 CHRIS T. RASMUSSEN, ESQ.
7 Attorney for Defendant

/s/ Melanee Smith

MELANEE SMITH
Assistant United States Attorney

CHRIS T. RASMUSSEN, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 007149
RASMUSSEN LAW P.C.
520 South 4th Street
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
(702) 384-5563
ctr@rasmussenlaw.com

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	Case No.: 2:19-cr-00133-APG-VCF
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	<u>FINDINGS OF FACT AND</u>
)	<u>CONCLUSIONS OF LAW</u>
)	
ROBERTO BLANCAS-MATA,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

FINDINGS OF FACT

Based on the stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing, the Court finds that:

1. The parties agree to a continuance;
2. Counsel for Defendant needs additional time to adequately prepare for sentencing;
3. Defendant is not in custody. Counsel for the Defendant has spoken to the Defendant

and the Defendant has no objection to this continuance.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. Denial of this request would result in a miscarriage of justice;
2. For all the above-stated reason, the ends of justice would best be served by a continuance of the sentencing date for 60 days.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.)
)
ROBERTO BLANCAS-MATA,)
)
Defendant.)
_____)

Case No.: 2:19-cr-00133-APG-VCF

ORDER

Accordingly, IT IS SO ORDERED that the sentencing currently scheduled for June 2, 2021, at the hour of 11:30 a.m., be vacated and continued to August 4, 2021, at the hour of 10:00 a.m./p.m. in Courtroom 6C.

DATED this 21st day of May, 2021.



UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE